

APR 1 7 1953

26

HANDRANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Free World Shipping to Cuba

I. Present Status. Discussions which the United States has conducted with other maritime nations during the past several mounths about shipping in the Cuban trade resulted in positive action to remove Free World ships from the Cuban trade. Liberiz, Hurkey. Monduras and Panana have issued official decrees barring their ships from all trade with Cuba. West Gormany has issued a decree prohibiting ships under its registry from trade between the Soviet Moc and Cuba. More recently, a Greak decree prohibited Greek vescels not presently under charter to the Bloc from carrying car cos to Cuba, and provided that Greek vessels will be withdrawn from the Cuban trade, as present charters expire. The Lebenese have assured us of their cooperation and state that they hope to rewrite their shipping laws within three nonths. Repeated approaches to the United Kingdon have not been productive, and HW has reiterated its position that it does not have a legal basis for pulling it! vessels out of the Culan trade. However, HMG has engaged in im ortal discussions with British shipomers with some effect.

A further spur to action by foreign governments in this regard has been Section 107(b) of the Foreign Aid and Related Agencies Appropriation Act of 1963, which in substance provides that no United States economic assistance (not including PL 480) shall be furnished to any country which "permits" ships urder its flag to carry "items of economic assistance" to Cuba.

Another factor has been the fear of shipowners and operators that the ILA would not load cargoes in American ports if their ships engaged in the Cuban trade.

The result of all this has been that the number of Free World vessels calling at Cuban ports was reduced from 337 in the first three munths of 1962, to 62 in the first three months of 1963. However, while the number of such ships reached a low point of 12 in January of 1963, it increased to 22 in February and to 28 in Harch. (The foregoing figures are in part based upon classified information and the public list of chips released by the Maritime Administration

in

DECLASSIFIED

Authority State Str. 11/5/16 NARS, Date 3/31/17

in accordance with MSAM 220 shows 12 in January, 19 in February, and 23 in March.) Monover, as a part of the increased number of vessels shown for March arrived in ballast to carry out sugar cargoes, there has not been a corresponding increase in the goods brought to Cuba by Free World ships. Preliminary information indicates that the amount of such imports was about the same in March as in January.

The following is a breakdown of the flags of the 78 ships which have arrived through April 17, 1963: United Kingdom 32, Greece 20, Norway 6, Lebenon 6, Italy 4, Yugoslavia 4, Spain 2, Japan 1, West Germany 1, Denmark 1, Norocco 1.

Since January 1, 1963, no Free World ship which has called at a Cuban port has subsequently called at a United States port. One vessel called at a United States port and then proceeded to Cuba. Pursuant to MSAM 220, consistents to withdraw their vessels from all Cuban trade have been or are in the process of being received from a line in each of Japan, Italy, Denmark, and West Germany.

II. Discussion. The Soviet Bloc has thus far readily replaced Free World vessels withdrawn from the Cuban trade, and can presumably do the same for the remaining vessels. Thus the effects on the Cuban economy ere minimal. Mosever, Free World vessels calling at Cuban ports have, in deposite opinion, become a symbol of the willingeness of the Free World to cooperate with us with respect to Cuba. Replacement of Free World vessels also in some small degree may increase the cost to the Soviet Bloc of maintaining Cuba.

HSAM 220 was confined to vessels calling at Cuban ports rather than to all ships owned or controlled by a line largely in order at that time further not to exacerbate our relations with Poland and fujoslavia. To have applied the HSAM to ships owned or controlled by would have meant that ships of those two countries could not have carried their customary share of PL 480 cargoes destined for those two countries. The shipping of those two countries to Cuba has averaged one or two ships per month. It is likely that they will continue their participation in the Cuban trade irrespective of whatever measures we may take short of a naval blockade. Polish and Jugoslav ships are currently carrying United States-financed cargoes under the PL 480 agreements with those countries signed on February 1, 1963, and Hovember 28, 1962, respectively. Yugoslav ships are also carrying some merchandise financed under an outstanding Export-Import Bank credit. Subsequently, a ship of the Moroccan Government line has called at a Cuban port. As this line is also carrying PL 480 cargo from the United States to Morocco, the effects on Horocco would be similar to those on Poland and Yugoslavia.

The strict application of Section 107(b) of the Foreign Assistand het raises serious problems of fact and policy. Questions of fact are whether a country has "permitted" its ships to carry "economic assistance" and wast cargoes may in fact be "economic assistance" as opposed to normal trade. Stringent and extreme application of the Act could result in the cutting-off of all economic assistance to the United Kingdon possessions such as Kenya, British Cuiana, ot cetera, as well as to Greece. The political disadvantages of such drastic action are of course obvious. Hevertheless, we have end are continuing to press the countries involved to purge themselves of all actions that could require us to invoke the Act. The Battle Act administrator (the Assistant Secretary of State for Economic Affairs) is, in accordance with the law, now assembling and transmitting to the AID Administrator factual information bearing on the spolication of Section 107 for legal determination required of the AD Administrator.

Because of measures now taken by the Greek Government, it appears that, as their charters expire, most if not all of the 20 Greek vessels which have called at Cuban ports since January 1 will be withdrawing from the Cuban trade. (The Greek Government is now assembling exact information on the torus of the various charters.) Commitments from the lines now being obtained will also assure the withdrawal of at least one of the four Italian ships, the one Japanese ship, the one Japanese ship, and a West German ship which is now completing its charter. The principal remaining problem is thus the 32 British and six Cornegian ships.

The minimal additional public action that we could now take would be the extension of the provisions of NSAM 220 to all ships owned or controlled by anyone owning or controlling vessels engaged in the Cuban trade. Apart from the not inconsiderable problem of exacerbation of our relations with Morocco, Yugoslavia and Poland, this could have useful and important domestic and international psychological effects. At the request of the Department of State, the Maritime Administration is now ungently studying shipping patterns in order to arrive at some estimate of its practical effects. Preliminary information indicates that its practical effects might be minimal with respect to tenkers, which comprise 16 of the 32 British ships engaged in the trade. Mr. McCome feels, and I agree, that it would not be desirable to take additional public steps during the present stage of Mr. Donovan's negotiations for the release of American prisoners in Cuba.

III. Recommendations. Considering all of the foregoing factors, I recommend that:

- 1. We make another approach to the United Kingdom, through their Arbascador here as well as in London, seeking United Kingdom cooperation and pointing out the necessity of our taking further action unless a proact reduction in United Kingdom shipping to Cuba can be actiowed.
 - 2. Similar approaches be made to Morway, Italy and Spain.
- 3. We now make all administrative preparations for the issuance, at a time to be subsequently determined, of an extension of the provisions of MSAM 22C to ships exmed or controlled by persons owning or controlling vessels engaged in the Cuban trade with a grace period of forty-five days to permit withdrawal of vessels in the course of a voyage at the time the extension is made public.
- 4. At a time subsequently to be determined, request United States owned or controlled oil companies to refrain voluntarily, within the Western Herisphere, from burdering vessels known to be engaged in the Cuba trade, and seek the cooperation of the United Kingdom in the application of a similar policy by British cil companies. (This would have its principal impact on a number of very small vessels which now may be operating between Central American ports and Cuba.)

Isl. Dean Rusk

Dean Rusk

S/S-RO

APR 17 1933

A true copy of signed criginal

G:UAJohnson:ges

4/17/6.

Solvi